References

- Assefa, Z., Shankle, G., Patterson, H. H. & Reynolds, R. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 2180-2195.
- Bünzli, J.-C. (1989). In Lanthanide Probes in Life, Chemical and Earth Sciences. Theory and Practice. Edited by J.-C. Bünzli & G. R. Choppin. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Bünzli, J.-C., Froidevaux, P. & Harrowfield, J. M. (1993). Inorg. Chem. 32, 3306-3311.
- Demas, J. J. (1983). Chem. Educ. 60, 803-808.
- Nicolet (1982a). P3 Software. Version 3.0. Program for R3m/E X-ray Diffractometer Control. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Nicolet (1982b). XTAPE. Program for Reduction of Data Collected on Nicolet R3m/E Diffractometers. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Range, K.-J., Kühnel, S. & Zabel, M. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1419– 1420.
- Reibenspies, J. H. (1992). *REFRED. Program for Data Reduction for Siemens R3m/V Diffractometer.* Texas A & M Univ., College Station, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Zabel, M., Kühnel, S. & Range, K.-J. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1619– 1621.

are almost normal to their corresponding basal planes. Each thiolate S atom bridges two Cu^{1} atoms, the ligand thus coordinating to form two five-membered open envelopes. In the hexamer, the six Cu^{1} atoms are in a perfect chair conformation and this hints at a distant $Cu^{1} \cdots Cu^{1}$ interaction.

Comment

The discovery of the antibacterial, antiviral and antimicrobial properties (Rosenfield, Mascharak & Arora, 1987) of 4,6-dimethylpyrimidine-2-thiol (4,6Me₂Pm-2SH) and its metal complexes, and the use of coppersulfur compounds (Krebs & Henkel, 1991) as models for copper-cysteine centres in metalloproteins like plastocyanin and azurin (Freeman, 1980), prompted the synthesis and structural investigation of the title complex. The crystal structure of $[Cu(4,6Me_2Pm2S)]_6.2H_2O$, (IV), is presented here and the metal coordination and nature of the bonding are discussed.



The structure (Fig. 1) consists of discrete centrosymmetric hexamers of (4,6-dimethylpyrimidine-2-thiolato)copper(I) and water of crystallization. The coordination geometry of the molecule (Table 2) is best described in terms of two centrosymmetrically related distorted tricapped trigonal prisms with bases $Cu(1)\cdots Cu(2^{i})\cdots Cu(3)$ and $S(1)\cdots S(2^{i})\cdots S(3)$. Each Cu¹ atom, near the centre of the rectangular faces of the prism, exhibits highly distorted square-pyramidal coordination geometry with two adjacent Cu¹ and two thiolato S atoms occupying the basal positions, and an azomethine N atom at the top of the pyramid. Each basal plane is planar to within ± 0.018 (2) Å and the Cu¹ atoms deviate above the plane by 0.836(1), 0.733(1) and 0.814(1) Å. The Cu^I—N [2.021(5)–2.044(5) Å] and Cu(1)—S [2.239(2)-2.264(2)Å] distances are within normal ranges.

2-thiolato-N:S:S)copper(I)] Dihydrate

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2529-2532

SHYAMAL SETH AND ASISH KUMAR DAS

Department of Physics, X-ray Laboratory, Presidency College, College Street, Calcutta 700 073, India

State: Hexakis[(μ_3 -4,6-dimethylpyrimidine-

Distant Copper–Copper Interactions in a Metal-Organic Compound in the Solid

THOMAS C. W. MAK

Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territiories, Hong Kong

(Received 10 January 1995; accepted 27 April 1995)

Abstract

In the hexameric complex of the title compound, $[Cu(C_6H_7N_2S)]_6.2H_2O$, each of the six Cu^I atoms exhibits highly distorted square-pyramidal coordination geometry with two adjacent Cu^I atoms and two thiolate S atoms occupying the basal positions, and an azomethine N atom at the apex. The molecule consists of two centrosymmetrically related distorted tricapped trigonal prisms, formed by three Cu^I and three thiolate S atoms. The three planar tridentate $C_6H_7N_2S$ ligands



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of the title compound showing the atom-numbering scheme. For non-H atoms, 50% probability ellipsoids are shown; O and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

The inner core of the molecule can be adequately described in terms of four rigid six-membered puckered rings in chair conformations and two kinds of coppercopper distances. Those bridged by an S atom average 3.178 Å and those not bridged average 2.808 Å, and are similar to those observed in $Cu_4 \{SC(NH_2)_2\}_6^{4+}$ (Griffith, Hunt & Amma, 1976) and $[Cu_2(C_4H_8NOS)_2]_2$ (Kuroda-Sowa, Munakata, Miyazaki & Maekawa, 1994). The average Cu^I—Cu^I distance of 2.808 Å is comparable with those reported so far for Cu¹ complexes, but there is still some uncertainty about whether or not d^{10} metal atoms mutually interact (Cotton, Fenz, Matusz & Poli, 1988) in the solid state. But, in the present case, the formation of a puckered six-membered ring with the Cu¹ atoms in a perfect chair conformation, as frequently encountered in cyclohexane systems, suggests that the Cu¹—Cu¹ bond is more than a mere possibility. This is substantiated by the packing of the molecules, forming the hexameric complex in the solid state. The formation of a perfect chair may also be favoured so that the high-energy eclipsing interaction between the S atoms attached to any two consecutive Cu¹ atoms may be avoided. No such significant Cu^I-Cu^I interactions are observed in the monohydrate (Castro et al., 1992) of the same compound in the solid state.

The heterocyclic (4,6Me₂Pm2SH) ligands are all planar (within ± 0.08 Å) and almost normal (average 91.7°) to their corresponding basal planes of the coordination polyhedron, displaying molecular geometry very close to that observed in the monohydrate and in other related complexes (Table 3). Each Cu¹ atom is almost coplanar with the ligand plane [deviations 0.013 (1), -0.195 (1) and -0.005 (1) Å]. Each thiolate S atom bridges two Cu¹ atoms (average Cu¹—S—Cu¹ 90°) and thus the ligand behaves as a tridentate donor. The differences in the bonding of Cu¹ to the ligands are, therefore, related to the internal geometry of the hexameric title complex; this effect was not observed in related (4,6Me₂Pm2S) metal complexes, where stable four-membered *N*,*S*chelate rings are formed.

In the hexamer, there are two molecules of water of crystallization $[U_{eq}(O1) = 0.202 (6) \text{Å}^2]$. The water H atoms were not located experimentally, but the $O(1) \cdots N(4)(-x-1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2)$ distance of 2.971 (11) Å is consistent with the existence of an intermolecular hydrogen bond which may stabilize the molecular packing. The closest intermolecular non-Hatom contact in the structure is $C(5) \cdots O(1)(-x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2)$ of 3.37 (1) Å.

Experimental

4,6-Dimethylpyrimidine-2-thiol hydrochloride (4,6Me₂Pm-2SH.HCl) was synthesized by the standard technique (Seth, 1994). The yellow crystalline compound was then dissolved in ethanol, basified and complexed with CuCl₂.2H₂O. The resulting mixture was then allowed to stand for several hours at 297 K during which a deep-yellow crystalline product settled out. This was filtered and dried in vacuo over silica gel. Single crystals of the complex were grown by slow evaporation of its solution from a 1:1 mixture of chloroform and alcohol at room temperature. Elemental analysis is in agreement with the empirical formula C₆H₇N₂SCu.H₂O: found C 32.5, H 3.9, N 12.8, S 14.4, O 7.5, Cu 28.9%. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the uncomplexed pyrimidine using CDCl₃ as solvent (TMS as internal standard) shows a singlet (6H) at $\delta = 2.4$ p.p.m. assignable to the six protons of the two methyl groups, while in the case of the Cu complex, two distinct singlets (3H each) at $\delta = 2.3$ and 2.5 p.p.m. are observed under the same experimental conditions, indicating the existence of the complex in a monomeric state in solution. This was confirmed by the determination of its molecular weight in CHCl₃ solution. The IR spectrum of the complex exhibits no N-H stretch in the 3200-3100 cm⁻¹ region, indicating that the ligand coordinates to the metal as a thiol. An alcoholic solution of the compound is non-conducting and the complex is diamagnetic both in solution as well as in solid state, revealing that Cu is present in the +1 oxidation state. The crystal density D_m was measured by flotation in bromoform/xylene.

Crystal data

[Cu(C₆H₇N₂S)]₆.2H₂O Mo Kα radiation $M_r = 1252.47$ $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Monocl	inic		Cell narameters f	rom 25	C(15)	-0.3942 (6)	0.0447 (5) 0.2203 (6)	0.073 (3)
			reflections		C(16)	-0.3476 (6)	0.0028 (4) 0.1488 (6)	0.064 (3)
$r_{21/n}$					C(17)	-0.3847 (7)	0.1573 (4) 0.3474 (7)	0.113 (5)
a = 11.914(2) Å			$\theta = 7.5 - 15^{\circ}$		C(18)	-0.3998 (6)	-0.0680 ((4) 0.0961 (6)	0.086 (4)
h = 17.960(2) Å			$\mu = 2.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$		O(1)	-0.2072 (7)	0.2921 (4) 0.2158 (8)	0.202 (6)
c = 11.007(2) Å			T = 294 K						
c = 11.99/(2) A $I = 277 R$									
$\beta = 106.67 (1)^{\circ}$ Plate			24	Table 2 Selected geometric parameters (Å °)					
$V = 2459.2$ (7) Å ³ $0.42 \times 0.38 \times 0.36$ mm				Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (11,)					
7 = 2 Yellow				Cu(1)—	Cu(2)	2.861 (1)	C(1) - N(1)	1.340 (7)	
$D = 1.601 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$					Cu(1)··	·Cu(2')	3.123 (1)	C(3)—C(4)	1.377 (9)
$D_x = 1.091 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$					Cu(1)· ·	•Cu(3)	3.286 (1)	C(2)—C(3)	1.360 (11)
$D_m = 1$./IMg m				Cu(1)—	Cu(3 ¹)	2.743 (1)	C(2)—N(2)	1.345 (9)
					Cu(1)	S(2)	2.261 (2)	S(2)—C(7)	1.776 (6)
Data collection					Cu(1)—	S(3 ¹)	2.238 (2)	N(3)—C(10)	1.350 (8)
Sigmans PA/PC diffractom			2501 observed re	Cu(1)—	N(1)	2.032 (4)	C(9)—C(10)	1.361 (13)	
Jenien		lom-	$1E \rightarrow A = 0E$		Cu(2)—	Cu(3)	2.820 (1)	C(8)—C(11)	1.500 (14)
eter			$ r_0 > 40 (r_0)$, (7)	Cu(2)· ·	•Cu(3')	3.126(1)	N(4)C(7)	1.309 (9)
ω scans			$R_{\rm int} = 0.028$		Cu(2)—S(1)		2.264 (2)	N(3)—C(7)	1.332 (8)
Absorption correction:			$\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$	Cu(2)—	S(3)	2.241 (2)	C(10) - C(12)	1.497 (11)	
w scan (Konfmann &			$h = -1 \rightarrow 13$	Cu(2)—	N(3)	2.044 (5)	C(8)—C(9)	1.352 (13)	
ψ scall (Köpillalli &			$k = -1 \rightarrow 20$	Cu(3)—	S(1')	2.239 (2)	N(4)—C(8)	1.347 (9)	
nube	1, 1908)		$k = -1 \rightarrow 20$		Cu(3)—	S(2)	2.245 (2)	S(3) - C(13)	1.763 (7)
T_{min}	$= 0.511, T_{max}$	=	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$		Cu(3)—	N(5)	2.021 (5)	N(5) - C(16)	1.355 (9)
0.632	2		3 standard reflect	tions	$S(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot S(1)$	S(3)	3.871 (2)	C(16) - C(18)	1.476 (10)
3007 measured reflections fr			frequency: 60 min		$S(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot$	S(1 ¹)	3.720 (2)	C(14) - C(17)	1.513 (12)
3907 measured reflections integration			interneity door	1 50%	$S(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot$	S(3')	3.766 (3)	N(6) - C(13)	1.334 (8)
3809 independent reflections			intensity decay: 1.5%		S(1)—C	2(1)	1.753 (6)	N(5) - C(13)	1.339 (7)
					N(1)—C	C(4)	1.332 (8)	C(15) - C(16)	1.3/3 (11)
Refinen	nent				C(4)—C	C(6)	1.501 (10)	C(14) - C(15)	1.364 (11)
			$h = 0.52 + h^{-3}$		C(2)—C	C(5)	1.503 (8)	N(6) - C(14)	1.347 (10)
Refiner	nent on F		$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.53 \ {\rm e}$	A 2	C(1)—N	N(2)	1.340 (6)		
R = 0.041			$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.51 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$			-Cu(1)Cu(3 ⁱ)	67.77 (3)	S(1) - C(1) - N(1)	118.7 (5)
wR = 0.037			Extinction correct	Cu(2)	-Cu(1)-N(1)	85.1 (1)	N(1) - C(1) - N(2)	125.4 (5)	
S = 1.054			SHELX76 (Sheldrick			-Cu(1)-S(2)	131.2 (1)	N(1) - C(4) - C(3)	120.0 (7)
5 = 1.054			1076)	Cu(3')-	-Cu(1)-N(1)	91.2(1)	C(3)—C(4)—C(6)	122.6 (7)	
2501 reflections 1976)					S(2)C	Lu(1) - N(1)	114.4 (2)	N(2) - C(2) - C(3)	120.9 (7)
281 parameters			Extinction coefficient:		Cu(2)-	-Cu(1)—S(2)	73.64 (4)	C(3)—C(2)—C(5)	123.0 (7)
H-atom parameters not			-0.0003(1)	Cu(2)	-Cu(1)—S(3 ⁱ)	132.4 (1)	Cu(1) - S(2) - Cu(3)	93.7 (1)	
refined			Atomic scatterin	Cu(3 ¹)-	$-Cu(1)-S(3^{i})$	75.4 (1)	Cu(3)—S(2)—C(7)	107.9 (2)	
1 2257/(-2/E)			from Internet	S(2)—C	Cu(1)—S(3')	113.7 (1)	Cu(2)N(3)C(10)	126.4 (4)	
$w = 1.5557/[\sigma^{*}(P_{o})]$				S(3 [†])—(Cu(1)—N(1)	125.6(1)	S(2) - C(7) - N(4)	116.2 (5)	
$+ 0.000139F_{o}^{2}$ for X-			for X-ray Cry	stallography	Cu(1)—	-Cu(2)—Cu(3)	70.68 (3)	C(7) - N(3) - C(10)	116.3 (5)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.009$			(1974, Vol. IV)		Cu(1)—	-Cu(2)—S(3)	136.1 (1)	N(3)—C(10)—C(9)	120.4 (6)
. ,					Cu(3)—	-Cu(2)S(1)	135.8 (1)	C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	119.7 (9)
T 11	1 Fundinual		accordinates and	Laquinalant	Cu(3)—	-Cu(2)—N(3)	90.5 (1)	Cu(1) - S(2) - C(7)	102.6 (2)
Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent					S(1)-C	Cu(2)—N(3)	111.7 (2)	Cu(2)—N(3)—C(7)	117.3 (4)
isotropic displacement parameters (Å ²)					Cu(1)—	-Cu(2)—S(1)	74.23 (4)	S(2) - C(7) - N(3)	117.6 (4)
					Cu(1)—	-Cu(2)—N(3)	83.8 (2)	N(3) - C(7) - N(4)	126.2 (6)
	$U_{eq} =$	$=(1/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_i$	$U_{ij}U_{ij}a_i^*a_i^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$		Cu(3)—	-Cu(2)—S(3)	73.8 (1)	N(3) - C(10) - C(12)	115.6 (6)
	•		, .		S(1)—C	Cu(2)—S(3)	118.5 (1)	C(9) - C(10) - C(12)	124.1 (8)
	x	у	Z	$U_{\rm eq}$	S(3)—(Cu(2)—N(3)	121.7 (2)	N(4) - C(8) - C(11)	117.2(7)
Cu(1)	0.09194 (6)	-0.10394	(4) 0.04438 (6)	0.0528 (3)	Cu(1')	Cu(3)Cu(2)	68.28 (3)	C(9) - C(8) - C(11)	122.4 (9)
Cu(2)	0.04963 (6)	0.01888 ((4) 0.17871 (6)	0.0551 (3)	Cu(1')	-Cu(3)-N(5)	90.7 (1)	C(7) = N(4) = C(8)	11/.1 (6)
Cu(3)	-0.16450 (7)	-0.02878	(4) 0.02465 (6) (12)	0.0528(3)	Cu(2)	-Cu(3)S(2)	74.7(1)	N(4) = C(8) = C(9)	120.4 (7)
S(1)	0.23548 (13)	0.03341 ((8) 0.16939 (12)	0.0467 (5)	Cu(2)—	-Cu(3)—N(5)	88.6(1)	Cu(2) = S(3) = Cu(1')	88.4(1)
S(2)	-0.06930 (13)	-0.13359	(8) 0.09851 (12)	0.0486 (6)	S(2)—C	Cu(3)—N(5)	116.9 (2)	$Cu(1^{\circ}) = S(3) = -C(13)$	107.5(2)
S(3)	-0.06903 (13)	0.11826 ((8) 0.14620(13)	0.0482 (0)	Cu(1')-	-Cu(3)-S(2)	132.9(1)	Cu(3) = N(3) = C(10)	120.5 (4)
N(1)	0.2452 (4)	-0.1151 (2	0.1/26(4)	0.050(2)	Cu(1')-	-Cu(3) - S(1')	//.1(1)	S(3) = C(13) = N(0)	110.0 (5)
C(1)	0.3000 (5)	-0.0526 (.	0.2199(5)	0.045(2)	Cu(2)-	-Cu(3) - S(1)	133.9(1)	C(13) = N(3) = C(16)	117.2 (3)
N(2)	0.3987 (4)	-0.0495 (.	0.3082(4)	0.055(2)	S(2)	-u(3) - S(1')	112.1(1)	N(3) = C(10) = C(13)	120.1(0)
C(2)	0.4485 (6)	-0.1151 (3	0.3483(0)	0.008(3)	S(T)	Cu(3) - N(3)	122.0(1)	V(14) = C(13) = C(10)	1217(7)
C(3)	0.3968 (6)	-0.1805 (4	(1) 0.3043(7) 0.3158(6)	0.061(3)	Cu(2)-	-S(1) - Cu(3')	87.9(1)	$N(0) \rightarrow C(14) \rightarrow C(15)$	121.7 (7)
C(4)	0.2934 (6)	-0.1/9/ (4	(0,2136)	0.070(3)	Cu(3')-	-S(1)-C(1)	108.9 (2)	$C_{(13)} = N_{(0)} = C_{(14)}$	106.3 (2)
C(5)	0.5638 (5)	-0.1112 (3	0.4414(0)	0.099(4)	Cu(1)-	-N(1) - C(4)	125.0 (4)	Cu(2) = S(3) = C(13)	116 5 (4)
C(0)	0.2313(7)	-0.2490 (2	(7) = 0.1034(7)	0.105(4)	$S(1) \rightarrow 0$	$\mathcal{L}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(2)$	117.6 (4)	S(3) = C(13) = N(5)	117.8 (5)
N(3)	0.0388 (4)	-0.0021 (.	(4) 0.2949 (4)	0.054(2) 0.054(3)	U(1)—	C(4) = C(4)	117.0 (3)	N(5) = C(13) = N(3)	125 6 (5)
	-0.0197 (3)	-0.1238 (4	(3) 0.2322(3) (3) (3) (3) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	0.080(3)		C(4) = C(0)	110 7 (7)	N(5) = C(16) = C(18)	1170(6)
IN(4) C(8)	0.0403 (0)	-0.1001 (.	(12) = (0.5125 (3)) (5) $(0.4703 (7))$	0 102 (4)	N(2)	C(3) = C(4)	116.1 (6)	C(15)-C(16)-C(18)	(1) 122 9 (7)
	0.0036 (9)	-0.1707 (.	6) 0.4293 (7)	0.102(4)	$\Gamma(2)$	N(2) = C(2)	116 3 (5)		(1219(7)) 1219(7)
C(9)	0.0090(0)	-0.1170 (0	(0.7772(0)) 5) $(0.4114(6))$	0.072(3)	C_{1}	S(1) = C(1)	10.5(3) 102.7(2)	N(6) = C(14) = C(17)	1164(7)
C(10)		-0.2220 (.	6) 04991(7)	0.189(7)	Cu(2) = Cu(1)	-3(1) $-2(1)$	102.7(2) 1174(4)		
C(12)	0 1548 (7)	0.0091 (5) 0.4576 (6)	0.110 (4)	Cu(1)-		······································		2 (5)
N(5)	-0.2488(4)	0.0256 ((0.1244(4))	0.049 (2)		C(3)—C(4)—N(1)—Cu(1) 178.9	9 (3) ((5)
C(13)	-0.2001(5)	0.0892 (3) 0.1734 (5)	0.044 (2)		C(15)—C(16)—N(5)—Ci	(3) - 178.0	0 (J) 5 (6)
N(6)	-0.2414(5)	0.1327 (3) 0.2427 (4)	0.059 (2)		C(9)—C(1	0)—N(3)—Cu(2) -1/8.	5 (0)
C(14)	-0.3399 (7)	0.1093 (4) 0.2657 (6)	0.069 (3)		S	ymmetry code	e: (i) -x, -y, -z.	
		•							

 Table 3. Comparison of mean bond lengths (Å) in related

 metal complexes



References: (I) Seth (1994); (II) Cartweight *et al.* (1979); (III) Cotton *et al.* (1979); (IV) this work.

The positions of the Cu atoms were obtained from a Patterson function while successive weighted Fourier syntheses yielded the coordinates of all the remaining non-H atoms; non-H atoms were subjected to anisotropic refinement. All H atoms except those of the lattice water molecules were geometrically generated (C—H 0.96 Å) and allowed to ride on their respective parent C atoms; they were assigned appropriate isotropic displacement parameters and included in the structure-factor calculations. The water H atoms could not be located and were not included in the calculations. Anomalous-dispersion corrections for Cu were incorporated.

Program systems used: XRAYARC (Vickery, Bright & Mallinson, 1971) for Patterson syntheses; NORMAL, EXFFT and SEARCH sequences of MULTAN78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1978) for weighted Fourier syntheses; SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) for refinement; PARST86 (Nardelli, 1983) for molecular geometry calculations; ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) for molecular graphics.

The authors thank Professors Siddhartha Ray and Saktiprosad Ghosh, IACS, Jadavpur, Calcutta, for their valuable suggestions and discussions, and the members of the Distributed Information Centre, Bose Institute, Salt Lake City, Calcutta, for their continuous cooperation during computations. Financial assistance from the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, is also gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: DE1000). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Cartwright, B. A., Langguth, P. O. Jr & Skapski, A. C. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 63-67.
- Castro, R., Duran, M. L., Garcia Va'zquez, J. A., Romero, J., Sousa, A., Castellano, E. E. & Zukerman-Schpector, J. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton. Trans. p. 2559.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Cotton, F. A., Fenz, X., Matusz, M. & Poli, R. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 7077-7083.
- Cotton, F. A., Niswander, R. H. & Sekutowski, J. C. (1979). *Inorg. Chem.* 18, 1149–1151.
- Freeman, H. C. (1980). Coordination Chemistry 21, edited by J. P. Laurent, p. 29. New York: Pergamon.
- Griffith, E. H., Hunt, G. W. & Amma, E. L. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 432-433.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kopfmann, G. & Huber, R. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 348-351.
- Krebs, B. & Henkel, G. (1991). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 30, 769. Kuroda-Sowa, T., Munakata, M., Miyazaki, M. & Maekawa, M.
- (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1026–1028.
- Main, P., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1978). MULTAN78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Rosenfield, S. G., Berends, H. P., Gelmini, L., Stephan, D. W. & Mascharak, P. K. (1987). *Inorg. Chem.* 26, 2792-2797.
- Rosenfield, S. G., Mascharak, P. K. & Arora, S. K. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 129, 39–46.
- Seth, S. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1196-1199.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Vickery, B. L., Bright, D. & Mallinson, P. R. (1971). XRAYARC. IBM 1130 Program System, Modified for a Cyber Computer. Agricultural Research Council, Univ. College, London, England.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2532-2534

Bis(4,6-diamino-2-methylthiopyrimidinium) Tetrabromozincate(II)

JUAN MANUEL SALAS AND MARIA ANGUSTIAS ROMERO

Departamento de Quimica Inorganica, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

René Faure

Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique II, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 69622 Villeurbanne CEDEX, France

(Received 21 March 1995; accepted 16 June 1995)

Abstract

The reaction of the pyrimidine base 4,6-diamino-2methylthiopyrimidine (DAMTP) with zinc bromide in dilute hydrobromic acid resulted in the formation of single crystals of the title compound, $(C_5H_9N_4S)_2[ZnBr_4]$, (HDAMTP)₂[ZnBr₄]. The crystals contain isolated [ZnBr₄] tetrahedra and non-coordinating pyrimidinium derivative cations which are linked to the anions *via* N—H···Br hydrogen bonds with donor-acceptor distances of 3.26 (1) Å.